





For Release: Friday, January 11, 2019

18-1876-CHI

MIDWEST INFORMATION OFFICE: Chicago, III.

Technical information: (312) 353-1880 BLSInfoChicago@bls.gov www.bls.gov/regions/midwest

Media contact: (312) 353-1138

County Employment and Wages in South Dakota — Second Quarter 2018

South Dakota's only large county, Minnehaha, reported employment growth of 1.0 percent from June 2017 to June 2018, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported today. (Large counties are defined as those with employment of 75,000 or greater as measured by 2017 annual average employment.) Assistant Commissioner for Regional Operations Charlene Peiffer noted that in June 2018, Minnehaha County's employment level of 128,800 accounted for 29.3 percent of total employment within the state. (See table 1.)

Nationally, employment rose 1.5 percent from June 2017 to June 2018, as 309 of the 349 largest U.S. counties gained jobs. Nationwide, the 349 largest counties made up 72.9 percent of total U.S. employment, which stood at 147.4 million in June 2018.

The average weekly wage in Minnehaha County was \$896 in the second quarter of 2018, up 2.3 percent from the previous year. Nationally, the average weekly wage rose 3.4 percent over the year to \$1,055 in the second quarter of 2018.

Employment and wage levels (but not over-the-year changes) are also available for the 65 counties in South Dakota with employment below 75,000. All 65 of these smaller counties had average weekly wages below the national average in June 2018. (See table 2.)

Large county wage changes

Minnehaha County's 2.3-percent wage growth from the second quarter of 2017 to the second quarter of 2018 ranked 244th nationally. (See table 1.) Among the 349 largest U.S. counties, 340 had over-the-year increases in average weekly wages in the second quarter of 2018. Marin, CA, had the largest over-the-year wage gain at 11.7 percent.

Eight of the 349 largest U.S. counties experienced over-the-year decreases in average weekly wages. New Hanover, NC, had the largest over-the-year percentage decrease in average weekly wages with a loss of 6.4 percent.

Large county average weekly wages

Minnehaha County's \$896 average weekly wage ranked 239th among the 349 large U.S. counties in the second quarter of 2018. Nationwide, weekly wages were higher than the U.S. average of \$1,055 in 94 of the largest U.S. counties. Santa Clara, CA, held the top position with an average weekly wage of \$2,573. San Mateo, CA, was second at \$2,357, followed by San Francisco, CA (\$2,083), and New York, NY (\$2,025).

Nationwide, 255 large U.S. counties reported average weekly wages below the national average. Horry, SC (\$625) reported the lowest weekly wage, followed by the Texas counties of Cameron (\$642), Hidalgo (\$645), and Webb (\$687).

Average weekly wages in South Dakota's smaller counties

All 65 counties in South Dakota with employment below 75,000 had average weekly wages lower than the national average of \$1,055. Among these smaller counties, Union County had the highest average weekly wage at \$953, and Mellette County had the lowest at \$501. (See table 2.)

When all 66 counties in South Dakota were considered, 7 reported average weekly wages of \$599 or lower, 15 had wages from \$600 to \$649, 15 reported wages from \$650 to \$699, 11 reported wages from \$700 to \$749, and 18 had wages of \$750 or higher. (See chart 1.)

Additional statistics and other information

QCEW data for states have been included in this release in table 3. For additional information about quarterly employment and wages data, please read the Technical Note or visit www.bls.gov/cew.

Employment and Wages Annual Averages Online features comprehensive information by detailed industry on establishments, employment, and wages for the nation and all states. The 2017 edition of this publication contains selected data produced by Business Employment Dynamics (BED) on job gains and losses, as well as selected data from the first quarter 2018 version of the national news release. Tables and additional content from Employment and Wages Annual Averages 2017 are now available online at www.bls.gov/cew/cewbultn17.htm. The 2018 edition of Employment and Wages Annual Averages Online will be available in September 2019.

The County Employment and Wages release for third quarter 2018 is scheduled to be released on Wednesday, February 20, 2019. The County Employment and Wages full data update for third quarter 2018 is scheduled to be released on Wednesday, March 6, 2019.

New BLS Local Data iPhone App Includes QCEW Data

BLS has partnered with the U.S. Department of Labor's Office of the Chief Information Officer to develop a new mobile app for iPhones. The BLS Local Data app is ideal for customers, such as jobseekers and economic and workforce development professionals, who want to know more about local labor markets. For more information, please go to:

https://blogs.bls.gov/blog/2018/10/18/new-bls-local-data-app-now-available/.

Technical Note

Average weekly wage data by county are compiled under the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program, also known as the ES-202 program. The data are derived from summaries of employment and total pay of workers covered by state and federal unemployment insurance (UI) legislation and provided by State Workforce Agencies (SWAs). The average weekly wage values are calculated by dividing quarterly total wages by the average of the three monthly employment levels of those covered by UI programs. The

result is then divided by 13, the number of weeks in a quarter. It is to be noted, therefore, that over-the-year wage changes for geographic areas may reflect shifts in the composition of employment by industry, occupation, and such other factors as hours of work. Thus, wages may vary among counties, metropolitan areas, or states for reasons other than changes in the average wage level. Data for all states, Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), counties, and the nation are available on the BLS Web site at www.bls.gov/cew; however, data in QCEW press releases have been revised and may not match the data contained on the Bureau's Web site.

QCEW data are not designed as a time series. QCEW data are simply the sums of individual establishment records reflecting the number of establishments that exist in a county or industry at a point in time. Establishments can move in or out of a county or industry for a number of reasons—some reflecting economic events, others reflecting administrative changes.

The preliminary QCEW data presented in this release may differ from data released by the individual states as well as from the data presented on the BLS Web site. These potential differences result from the states' continuing receipt, review and editing of UI data over time. On the other hand, differences between data in this release and the data found on the BLS Web site are the result of adjustments made to improve over-the-year comparisons. Specifically, these adjustments account for administrative (noneconomic) changes such as a correction to a previously reported location or industry classification. Adjusting for these administrative changes allows users to more accurately assess changes of an economic nature (such as a firm moving from one county to another or changing its primary economic activity) over a 12-month period. Currently, adjusted data are available only from BLS press releases.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: (202) 691-5200; Federal Relay Service: (800) 877-8339.

Table 1. Covered employment and wages in the United States and the largest county in South Dakota, second quarter 2018

Area	Employment			Average weekly wage (1)				
	June 2018 (thousands)	Percent change, June 2017-18 ⁽²⁾	National ranking by percent change ⁽³⁾	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level (3)	Percent change, second quarter 2017-18 (2)	National ranking by percent change ⁽³⁾	
United States (4)	147,431.2	1.5		\$1,055		3.4		
South Dakota	439.7	0.9		807	49	2.8	35	
Minnehaha, SD	128.8	1.0	193	896	239	2.3	244	

Footnotes:

- (1) Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.
- (2) Percent changes were computed from quarterly employment and pay data adjusted for noneconomic county reclassifications.
- (3) Ranking does not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.
- (4) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Note: Data are preliminary. Covered employment and wages includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

Table 2. Covered employment and wages in the United States and all counties in South Dakota, second quarter 2018

Area	Employment June 2018	Average weekly wage(1)
nited States(2)		\$1,055
South Dakota		807
Aurora	979	642
Beadle	9,047	745
Bennett	830	640
Bon Homme		641
Brookings		817
Brown		799
Brule		605
Buffalo	523	763
Butte		623
Campbell		612
Charles Mix		627
Clark		600
Clay		733
Codington		748
Corson		754
Custer	3,150	587
Davison	· 1	757
Day		625
Deuel	1,409	759
_		739
Dewey	· 1	
Douglas	· 1	633
Edmunds	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	705
Fall River	2,677	705
Faulk		647
Grant	3,924	764
Gregory	·	586
Haakon		767
Hamlin	2,133	748
Hand	1,438	625
Hanson	656	686
Harding		711
Hughes	11,018	825
Hutchinson		687
Hyde	576	761
Jackson	825	567
Jerauld		649
Jones		561
Kingsbury	1,818	699
Lake	5,068	790
Lawrence		682
Lincoln		921
Lyman		584
Marshall		738
McCook	·	668
McPherson		584
Meade		777
Mellette		501
Miner		621
Minnehaha		896
		718
Moody	· 1	710
Oglala Lakota		
Pennington		774
Perkins		604
Potter	911	666

Table 2. Covered employment and wages in the United States and all counties in South Dakota, second quarter 2018 - Continued

Area	Employment June 2018	Average weekly wage(1)	
Roberts	3,756	676	
Sanborn	572	653	
Spink	2,430	691	
Stanley	1,342	659	
Sully	651	700	
Todd	2,979	695	
Tripp	2,360	657	
Turner	2,211	658	
Union	9,987	953	
Walworth	2,319	650	
Yankton	13,281	808	
Ziebach	315	659	

Footnotes

Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs. Data are preliminary.

⁽¹⁾ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

⁽²⁾ Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Table 3. Covered employment and wages by state, second quarter 2018

						
State	June 2018 (thousands)	Percent change, June 2017-18	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	Percent change, second quarter 2017-18	National ranking by percent change
United States (2)	. 147,431.2	1.5	\$1,055		3.4	_
Alabama	. 1,969.9	1.2	882	37	2.8	35
Alaska	335.8	-0.9	1,043	15	3.7	ç
Arizona	. 2,770.8	2.6	973	23	3.3	19
Arkansas	1,214.6	0.7	824	47	1.7	47
California	1	1.9	1,265	4	4.6	3
Colorado		2.4	1,075	10	3.2	2
Connecticut	1	0.3	1,218	5	0.1	50
Delaware	1	1.3	1,023	17	1.4	49
District of Columbia		1.3	1,713	1	2.6	39
Florida		2.1	931	28	2.9	32
Georgia	1	2.0	979	22	2.3	43
Hawaii	1	0.5	956	24	2.5	4′
Idaho	1	3.1	794	50	3.8	4 (
Illinois		0.8	1,097	9	3.4	14
	1			_		
Indiana	1	1.1	883	36	2.8	35
lowa	1	0.8	880	39	3.3	19
Kansas	1	1.0	879	40	3.4	14
Kentucky	1	0.9	882	37	2.3	43
Louisiana	1		901	33	3.7	
Maine		1.0	843	45	3.6	11
Maryland		0.7	1,141	8	3.4	14
Massachusetts	1 '	1.0	1,322	2	3.5	12
Michigan	1	1.3	997	20	2.9	32
Minnesota	. 2,925.6	0.8	1,072	12	3.3	19
Mississippi	. 1,130.7	0.2	752	51	2.7	38
Missouri	. 2,829.0	0.5	924	30	3.9	7
Montana	. 478.7	1.1	817	48	2.5	4
Nebraska	. 990.8	0.6	859	43	3.1	29
Nevada	. 1,372.4	3.1	931	28	3.3	19
New Hampshire	. 670.8	0.8	1,049	14	3.3	19
New Jersey	. 4,157.0	0.9	1,201	7	2.3	43
New Mexico	. 823.6	1.0	852	44	3.5	12
New York	. 9,579.2	1.7	1,297	3	4.5	2
North Carolina	. 4,450.2	2.2	933	25	3.3	19
North Dakota	. 426.1	0.8	986	21	3.4	14
Ohio	. 5,461.3	0.7	933	25	2.3	43
Oklahoma	. 1,606.4	1.2	875	41	3.2	27
Oregon	. 1,947.3	1.5	999	18	3.3	19
Pennsylvania	5,924.9	1.1	1,031	16	3.1	29
Rhode Island	1	0.7	998	19	1.7	47
South Carolina		3.4	833	46	0.0	5
South Dakota	1	0.9	807	49	2.8	35
Tennessee	1	1.6	932	27	2.9	32
Texas	1		1,062	13	3.4	14
Utah	1	3.4	899	35	4.3	,- ;
Vermont	1	-0.8	907	31	4.3	į
Virginia		1.3	1,073	11	2.6	39
	1	2.7		5	6.9	
Washington	1		1,218	_		
West Virginia		1.6	868	42	4.8	10
Wisconsin	1		904	32	3.3	19
Wyoming Puerto Rico		0.5	901 543	(3)	3.0 5.2	3.

Note: See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3. Covered employment and wages by state, second quarter 2018 - Continued

	Employment		Average weekly wage (1)				
State	June 2018 (thousands)	Percent change, June 2017-18	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	Percent change, second quarter 2017-18	National ranking by percent change	
Virgin Islands	33.4	-14.4	838	(3)	12.8	(3)	

Footnotes

- (1) Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.
- (2) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.
- (3) Data not included in the national ranking.

Note: Data are preliminary. Covered employment and wages includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

Chart 1. Average weekly wages by county in South Dakota, second quarter 2018

